



Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Community Collaborative Charter School	Jon Campbell Director	jon.campbell@gcccharters.org 916-286-5161

Plan Summary [2024-25]

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Community Collaborative Charter School (CCCS) is a TK-12th grade, public, non-classroom based, independent charter school authorized by the Twin Rivers Unified School District with the main campus located at McClellan Business Park with multiple resource centers across northern Sacramento County serving Del Paso Heights, North Highlands, Rio Linda, Antelope, and surrounding communities. CCCS also offers a virtual academy program and one resource center in West Sacramento, Yolo County.

CCCS is open to all students in grades TK-12, is non-sectarian in its programs, admissions policies, employment practices, and all other operations, and does not discriminate against any pupil based on ethnicity, gender, gender expression, gender identity, religion, national

origin, ability, disability, or any of the characteristics as listed in Education Code Section 220, including immigration status. CCCS is fully WASC accredited through June 2028 and has been recognized each year as a Capturing Kids' Hearts National Showcase School since 2018 for its positive school culture and climate. CCCS has continued as a certified DASS school (Dashboard Alternative School Setting) since program inception in 2018. DASS certification is based on annual data indicating a school serves over seventy percent of students with at least one of the following DASS indicators: credit deficient, expelled or suspended, ward of the court, pregnant or parenting, truant or transient, foster or homeless. In addition, CCCS serves a statistically significant number of socioeconomically disadvantaged students, students with disabilities, and English Learners. As of Feb 2024, CCCS has a TK-12 enrollment of approximately 690 students.

It is the mission of CCCS to implement a rigorous, standards-based curriculum in an equitable, personalized, and culturally responsive learning environment with access to community resources for students and their families. We believe that all students can learn and achieve if we first ensure that students' basic needs are met. We do this by connecting community resources and student support systems which create multiple pathways to meet the divergent needs of our student populations.

CCCS provides a viable educational choice for parents and students interested in a personalized curriculum emphasizing rigor, relationship, and relevance. At CCCS all staff members serve as role models and mentors actively engaged in student education. At CCCS educational engagement models include a blend of small group instruction, web-based curriculum, and independent learning activities that vary based on grade level and student/family needs as our innovative and creative full-service program is dedicated to meeting the needs of the whole child. CCCS strives to personalize education to meet this unique student population's academic and social-emotional needs. Our counselors, teachers, specialists, and paraeducators provide instructional guidance and support and assist in identifying and meeting student instructional needs. CCCS has a variety of successful academic support labs, tutoring opportunities, and small classes to help students succeed within their educational program. The school is based on the belief that all students can learn and achieve if the basic needs of students are met. CCCS serves all students by facilitating access to district/social/community services and support including The El Dorado County Office of Education (EDCOE) Charter SELPA to meet the needs of students with disabilities; professional learning for staff and administrators such as Alternative Dispute Resolution tools; use of educational consultants to facilitate root cause analysis for Program Indicator Review and other accountability measures; and access to program specialists and other technical experts to assist with specific student needs. CCCS is one of nine charter schools operated and overseen by Gateway Community Charters, a 501c3 non-profit charter management organization (CMO).

The school is governed pursuant to the bylaws adopted by the incorporators, as subsequently amended pursuant to the bylaws. The GCC Board of Directors believes that learning best occurs when students are provided with an educational program that challenges and motivates everyone to achieve their full potential. Gateway Community Charters has been in operation since 2005 in a dynamic partnership with local communities, creating innovative public school choice options, through the charter school construct. Now in its 21st year of operation, GCC serves over 5,800 TK-12th grade students within nine separate schools, all fully WASC-accredited charters, with several unique missions. Currently, four of our nine charter schools serve predominantly underserved, unserved, vulnerable, and at-risk youth; credit deficient, 5th-year seniors, pregnant and parenting youth, adjudicated youth, homeless, etc. GCC charter schools serve statistically significant numbers of low socioeconomic students and English Learners including newcomers. Gateway Community Charters manages a complex budget of over 70 million dollars and has maintained over the required 3% reserve for each of its charter schools as well as keeping an additional reserve when needed for cash flow management all while expanding enrollment significantly each year.

CCCS works with students and families to ensure that support systems are in place to meet the unique needs of at-risk youth. To these ends, CCCS has partnered with organizations like Camp Pollock, Sacramento Food Bank and Family Services, Sacramento Youth Center, Student Reach, Soroptimist, Firehouse Community Center, and Women Escaping a Violent Environment (WEAVE), as well as other new and developing partners. By linking social service organizations and education, CCCS can serve students who are disenfranchised by the traditional school system.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Community Collaborative Charter School has continuously qualified for DASS (Dashboard Alternative School Status) since its inception in September 2017. To be eligible for DASS, a school must annually report an unduplicated count at a minimum of 70 percent of the school's total enrollment, upon first entry to the school, comprising state-identified high-risk student groups. The alternative measures for CCCS under DASS are the one-year graduation rate and college and career indicator (CCI). For the 2022-2023 school year, the one-year graduation rate for Community Collaborative Charter was 84.7 percent and the CCI indicator was 2.9 percent of students considered as "Prepared". The one-year graduation rate is above the expected percentage for DASS schools with 72 of 85 students completing all graduation requirements. Our school team reflected on this percentage as a positive yet we believe it is possible to have 100 percent of students in the one-year cohort graduate by the end of each school year. The CCI indicator percentage does not meet expectations over the past two years, yet we are feeling positive as increases in the number of CTE pathway programs available and the number of students participating in Dual Enrollment should provide more opportunities for students to complete the second year of requirements to meet requirements to be counted as prepared by the end of next school year.

Regardless of DASS status, the performance indicators that the school reported in the Red on the Dashboard are as follows:

ELA - All, EL, Hispanic, SED

Math - All, EL, Hispanic, SED, & White)

Absenteeism (Hispanic & SWD)

Four-year Graduation (All, Hispanic, SED, White)

Suspension (Homeless)

The Community Collaborative Charter School leadership team recognizes that each area needs focus for continuous improvement. We have identified some small areas of success in our one-year graduation rate, English Learner progress toward proficiency and reclassification rates, and the distance from standard in Math which was reported to be maintained compared to the prior year. In addition, a comparison of results indicated that we outperformed or equaled results from similar local area schools in ELA and Mathematics. Recent culture and climate surveys conducted through partnerships with external agencies indicate a strong culture and climate at Community Collaborative Charter School. CCCS was recognized as a Capturing Kids Hearts (Flippen Group) National Showcase School for the 8th consecutive year in May 2024. Leading to our selection for the 2023-2024 school year, survey data was collected by the Flippen Group as part of the current year's nomination process. In this survey, 4.3 out of 5 students reported in the affirmative that teachers treat them with respect, and 4 out of 5 students reported in the affirmative teachers care about them. For parents, 4.3 out of 5 reported that they believe the school is partnering

with me to encourage, motivate, and help my student to be successful, and over 4.5 out of 5 reported they feel welcome at my student's school. In addition, Gateway Community Charters (GCC) leadership worked with the Hanover Group to conduct an independent school culture survey as one piece of the LCAP educational partner engagement process. In this survey, over 80% of respondents reported that they agreed or strongly agreed that students feel safe at school with 5% reporting that they either disagreed or strongly disagreed about feeling safe at school and over 80% responded that they believe that students from different backgrounds become friends and 78% of students responded that students have friends at school with less than 5% responding either disagree or strongly disagree to this question.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Community Collaborative Charter School (CCCS) has been identified for the Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) program due to the four-year graduation rate indicator. The actions taken to address the requirements are explained in the next section which is specific to CSI. In addition, CCCS has been identified for Differentiated Assistance support through the Sacramento County Office of Education (SCOE) due to the following indicators on the 2022-2023 dashboard.

English Learners: Academics, CCI

Hispanic: Academics, Chronic Absenteeism, CCI, Graduation

SocioEconomically Disadvantaged (SED): Academics, CCI, Graduation

White: Academics, CCI, Graduation

Throughout Spring 2024, a team of school leaders attended four professional learning meetings facilitated by the Sacramento County Office of Education, with the school Director receiving personalized coaching in between meetings. This team created a plan to improve the percentage of English Learners who make satisfactory progress toward English proficiency in Spring 2025. The actions established to facilitate this goal include individualized goal setting using prior year scores and local assessments, increasing the understanding of the ELPAC summative test, targeted interventions, and increased practice testing opportunities. The team believes this work will transcend other indicators where English Learners are achieving below standard.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Community Collaborative Charter School was identified as eligible for comprehensive support and improvement in February 2023 due to a sub-standard four-year graduation rate .

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Beginning in March of 2023, Community Collaborative Charter School leadership and select educational staff, in collaboration with Gateway Community Charters leadership and external consultants, created an improvement plan focused on our need to increase the four-year graduation rate. A root cause analysis was done across two meetings held in May and June 2023 with qualitative and quantitative data analyzed and reflected upon throughout the creation of the plan that occurred during the June meeting.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

As part of our process designated to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of our plan, leadership from Community Collaborative Charter School and Gateway Community Charters meet monthly to review recent progress to ensure full and appropriate implementation. The school-level team leading this work meets quarterly with external consultants to review data and discuss trends identified during recent cycles of inquiry. The outcomes from these meetings drive ongoing revisions of actions and services within the plan. All educational staff have dedicated time monthly to engage in data talks within grade-level bands to give a wide range of staff a voice in this process. This work allows us to celebrate the positive impacts of our data, both large and small, and then adjust any actions or services by identifying current areas of strength and continued need. The school learning plan is shared with our School Site Council and District English Learner Advisory Council as we work to inform educational partner groups and gather insight from those performing and receiving services included in our plan.

Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
School staff including teachers, principals, administrators and other school personnel.	<p>Surveys: Administered anonymous surveys to staff members to gather their perspectives on various aspects of the LCAP, such as priorities, challenges, and areas for improvement.</p> <p>Focus groups: Held small group discussions/focus groups with representatives from different departments to delve deeper into specific topics related to the LCAP.</p> <p>Staff meetings: Allocated time during regular staff meetings to discuss the LCAP goals, progress, and solicit feedback from staff members.</p>
Parents	<p>Parent surveys: Administered surveys to parents to gather their perspectives on various aspects of the LCAP, such as educational priorities, areas of concern, and suggestions for improvement.</p> <p>Parent engagement events: Involved parents through School Site Council/DELAC and informal discussions during events like coffee and community, Back to School night, and Open House.</p>
Students	<p>Student surveys: Administered surveys to students to gather their perspectives on various aspects of the LCAP, such as educational experiences, areas of improvement, and suggestions for enhancing support services.</p>

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
	Student leadership involvement: Engaging student leaders in the LCAP feedback process.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

In shaping our LCAP, we actively sought input from our educational partners through anonymous surveys and on-campus events, recognizing the value of their perspectives. Their feedback informed our goals and actions in many key areas. For example, partners emphasized the importance of promoting career and college throughout middle and high school prompting us to add more small group learning opportunities in core subjects, additional CTE pathway programs, and continuing to emphasize hiring bi-lingual staff and ensuring access to academic counseling services. We also considered their insights on addressing academic achievement gaps, leading us to implement additional interventions and increased access to high-interest supplemental resources. Additionally, partners highlighted the significance of supporting students' social-emotional well-being, guiding us to establish new partnerships to provide added opportunities for SEL based systems and engagement strategies to create a positive, nurturing school environment. By incorporating partner feedback into our LCAP, we ensure that our goals and actions align closely with the needs of our educational community, fostering a supportive and inclusive environment for student success.

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	Develop college and career ready students	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
 Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
 Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)
 Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

LEA wide, literacy and math skills are below proficient for large numbers of students. Multiple supports are needed to guide student success including offering a wide range of instructional programming to close achievement gaps. The LEA will ensure that all students are provided learning opportunities that will foster college and career ready young adults.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	Appropriately Credentialed Teachers (misassignments)	During the 2022-2023 school year, CCCCS reported 14 missassignments.			All teachers are appropriately credentialed.	
1.2	Facilities in Good Repair (FIT Tool)	Monthly safety checklist with 0 identified issues			0 safety issues based on monthly safety inspections	
1.3	College and Career Indicator (CCI)	During the 2022-23 school year, CCCS reported 2.9% of students as Prepared.			50% of students reported as prepared by 2025-26	
1.4	A-G Completion Rates	0.74%			5%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.5	CTE Pathway Completers	1.5%			5%	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Certificated Staff	Fund certificated staff to provide broad access to courses promoting college and career readiness. (CTE, electives, world languages, etc.)	\$998,484.00	Yes
1.2	Classified Staff	Fund classified staff to support safe, clean instructional facilities and a broad range of instructional programs to prepare students for college and career	\$156,206.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.3	Professional Development	Fund professional development for school staff focused on college and career ready outcomes for students.	\$19,929.00	Yes
1.4	Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Fund supplemental programs and provide instructional resources accessible to all students including low income, English Learners, foster youth and SPED, to support college and career readiness.	\$32,185.00	Yes
1.5	Events and Activities	Fund activities and events focused on college and career readiness.	\$1,200.00	Yes
1.6	Clean and Safe Facilities	Ensure school campus has resources and supplies need to provide a safe, clean and effective learning environment for students.	\$10,354.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Increase academic growth, achievement and enrichment opportunities for all students, as well as close gaps with underperforming student groups including foster, low SES, EL's, LTEL's and SWD's.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
 Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)
 Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
 Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Standardized test results in both ELA and math show significant room for improvement and there are disparities amongst student subgroup scores. A focus on academic achievement with specific academic supports and enrichment opportunities for all students will help raise student proficiency scores and close achievement gaps.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	CAASPP Math (Schoolwide)	CCCS reported students scoring an average of 127 points below standard in Math.			CCCS students will show an annual schoolwide growth of no less than 5 points below standard in Math.	
2.2	CAASPP ELA (Schoolwide)	CCCS reported students scoring an average of 80.6 points below standard in ELA.			CCCS students will show an annual schoolwide growth of no less than 5 points below standard in ELA.	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.3	CAASPP Math (Significant Subgroups)	<p>CCCS reported the following significant subgroups for Math:</p> <p>EL students - 131.6 points below standard</p> <p>Hispanic students - 141.2 points below standard</p> <p>SED students - 133.4 points below standard</p> <p>White students - 106.3 points below standard</p> <p>SWD - 187.4 DFS</p>			CCCS students will show an annual growth of no less than 5 points each for significant subgroup in Math.	
2.4	CAASPP ELA (Significant Subgroups)	<p>CCCS reported the following significant subgroups in ELA:</p> <p>EL students - 85.5 points below standard</p> <p>Hispanic - 85.9 points below standard</p>			CCCS students will show an annual growth of no less than 5 points for each significant subgroup in Math.	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		SED students- 89.4 points below standard SWD - 144 DFS				
2.5	English Learner Progress Indicator	CCCS reported 45.6% of students considered to be making progress toward English Language Proficiency for 2022-23.			55% or above of CCCS EL students will make progress toward English Language Proficiency	
2.6	English Learner Reclassification Rate	4.65%			CCCS will re-classify 15% or more of EL students annually.	
2.7	High School Graduation Rate	CCCS was reported to have a schoolwide four-year graduation rate of 43% One year subgroup data reported as 33.8% for Hispanic students, 41.9% for Socioeconomically disadvantaged students, 61.4% for White students.			CCCS will increase the four year graduation rate by 3% annually schoolwide and for all identified significant subgroups.	
2.8	One Year Graduation Rate (DASS Metric)	CCCS was reported to have a schoolwide one-year graduation rate of			CCCS will maintain a one year graduation	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		84.7%. One year subgroup data reported as 83.3% for Hispanic students, 90.6% for Socioeconomically disadvantaged students, 90.9% for students with disabilities, and 85% for English Learners.			rate of above 70% schoolwide and for all identified significant subgroups.	

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Certificated Staff	Fund certificated staff to support reduced classroom teacher/students ratios and to provide increased academic support services and language	\$897,726.00	Yes

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
		acquisition programs as needed for foster, low SES, EL's, LTEL's and SWD's.		
2.2	Classified Staff	Fund classified support staff to support academic intervention programs for EL, homeless, foster, SPED and low-income students.	\$452,045.00	Yes
2.3	Staff Professional Development	Fund professional development for school staff focused on academic achievement and intervention support.	\$116,914.00	Yes
2.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Provide programs and resources to support academic achievement and supplemental support/intervention classes.	\$97,343.00	Yes
2.5	Activities and Events	Fund school enrichment activities and events focused on academic achievement.	\$3,000.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Foster a positive culture and climate through providing a safe, healthy and engaging learning environment.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
 Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
 Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The LEA serves a high percentage of unduplicated (low-income, foster, English learner) students. Data has shown that these students have a higher probability of dropping out of school. This goal will contribute to increasing student attendance, engagement and retention through providing a wide variety of learning supports and enrichment opportunities.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	School Attendance Rate	CCCS reported a schoolwide ADA of 89.67%			CCCS will maintain a school attendance rate above 90% annually.	
3.2	Chronic Absenteeism Rate	CCCS reported 30% of students as chronically absent during the 2022-23 school year.			Decrease 5% annually	
3.3	Student Suspension Rate	CCCS reported a Suspension Rate of 3.6% for 2022-23.			CCCS will report a less than 3% suspension rate	
3.4	Student Expulsion Rate	CCCS reported zero expulsions during 2022-23.			CCCS will report a less than 2% expulsion rate.	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.5	Retention Rate	CCCS identified a retention rate of 95% for 2022-23.			CCCS will report an at of above 95% retention rate.	
3.6	Student/Family Surveys	<p>During the parent survey conducted in January 2024, parents and students collectively responded as follows:</p> <p>Students are safe at school - 78% Strongly Agree/Agree, 17% neutral & 11% Disagree/Strongly Disagree.</p> <p>Students feel comfortable talking to school staff - 79% Strongly Agree/Agree, 14% neutral & 8% Disagree/Strongly Disagree.</p> <p>Students receive the resources needed - 81% Strongly Agree/Agree, 13% neutral & 6% Disagree/Strongly Disagree.</p> <p>Students from different cultural backgrounds become friends - 79% Strongly Agree/Agree,</p>			CCCS will report no less than 2% increase on student and parent survey results related to school safety, school belonging and connectedness, parent engagement and equity issues.	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		<p>17% neutral & 4% Disagree/Strongly Disagree.</p> <p>Encourages Parent Involvement - 69% Strongly Agree/Agree, 17% neutral & 14% Disagree/Strongly Disagree.</p> <p>Offer parents a say in the decision-making process - 72% Strongly Agree/Agree, 15% neutral & 13% Disagree with zero responses to strongly disagree.</p>				

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	Certificated Staff	Fund certificated staff to support student health, student/family engagement and retention.	\$6,292.00	Yes
3.2	Classified Staff	Fund classified staff to support student health & safety, student/family engagement, and retention	\$233,725.00	Yes
3.3	Professional Development	Fund professional development opportunities that will develop staff capacity to serve low-income, EL and Foster/Homeless youth who have struggled to attend and engage in school. PD will focus on engagement and social-emotional well-being.	\$22,420.00	Yes
3.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Provide materials and resources to support student engagement, attendance and social emotional well being.	\$104,861.00	Yes
3.5	Activities and Events	Fund school activities and events focused on student engagement and social emotional well being.	\$3,953.00	Yes
3.6	Supports for Homeless and Foster Students	Fund support services to support academic growth, attendance, engagement and retention for foster and homeless students.	\$2,166.00	Yes

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4		

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
----------	--------	----------	----------------	----------------	---------------------------	----------------------------------

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.
A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
----------	-------	-------------	-------------	--------------

Goals and Actions

Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
5		

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
----------	--------	----------	----------------	----------------	---------------------------	----------------------------------

Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.
A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
----------	-------	-------------	-------------	--------------

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2024-25]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
\$2,858,566	\$362,569

Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
39.020%	0.000%	\$0.00	39.020%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

Required Descriptions

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.1	<p>Action: Certificated Staff</p> <p>Need: Appropriately credentialed teachers are needed to ensure access for all students to a wide range of instructional programming.</p> <p>Scope:</p>	Ensuring access for all students to a wide range of instructional programing taught by highly qualified teachers will support college and career readiness.	- Teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed as measured by misassignments

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	LEA-wide		
1.2	<p>Action: Classified Staff</p> <p>Need: Safe learning spaces and additional one on one and small group support.</p> <p>Scope: LEA-wide</p>	Funding will provide for safe learning spaces and increased small group and one on one support.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • College and Career Indicator • School facilities are in good repair (FIT Tool)
1.3	<p>Action: Professional Development</p> <p>Need: Professional Development focusing on college and career ready outcomes.</p> <p>Scope: LEA-wide</p>	Professional development will provide skill development necessary for both certificated and classified staff to better support student learning.	- College and Career Indicator
1.4	<p>Action: Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies</p> <p>Need: A broad range of learning opportunities including intervention are needed for students performing below grade level.</p> <p>Scope:</p>	A broad range of academic program offerings will increase student engagement and learning outcomes, preparing students for college and careers.	- College and Career Indicator

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	LEA-wide		
1.5	<p>Action: Events and Activities</p> <p>Need: Increase percentage of graduates completing all A-G requirements.</p> <p>Scope: LEA-wide</p>	Events and activities exposing more students to college and career opportunities will increase the number students working towards meeting the A-G and CTE pathway completion requirements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percent of graduates meeting A-G requirements • Number of students completing a CTE pathway
1.6	<p>Action: Clean and Safe Facilities</p> <p>Need: Students need a clean, safe and comfortable place to learn in order to maximize learning outcomes. Underperforming students will increase achievement in a comfortable learning environment.</p> <p>Scope: LEA-wide</p>	This action will ensure all school facilities provide optimal learning spaces for student achievement.	- Annual FIT tool assessment
2.1	<p>Action: Certificated Staff</p> <p>Need: Increase percentage of students performing at grade level in math and ELA.</p> <p>Scope:</p>	Additional certificated staff will provide increased instructional support opportunities. These positions will help produce higher student outcomes and help close achievement gaps with underperforming subgroups.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAASPP ELA • CAASPP Math

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	LEA-wide		
2.2	<p>Action: Classified Staff</p> <p>Need: Close achievement gaps that exist with unduplicated student subgroups.</p> <p>Scope: LEA-wide</p>	Additional academic intervention opportunities for students will help close the achievement gap with unduplicated student subgroups.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAASPP ELA • CAASPP Math • English Learner Progress
2.3	<p>Action: Staff Professional Development</p> <p>Need: Professional development to run effective intervention classes and support programs to increase achievement for unduplicated student subgroups.</p> <p>Scope: LEA-wide</p>	Staff will learn effective tools and strategies to use with students to increase academic achievement.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subgroup scores on CAASPP (math and ELA) • EL Reclassification Rate
2.4	<p>Action: Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies</p> <p>Need: Resources to implement effective intervention classes and support programs that will increase academic achievement for unduplicated subgroups.</p>	Staff will have resources to lead classes and programs with effective learning tools and strategies to increase academic achievement.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CAASPP (math and ELA) • High School Graduation Rate

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	Scope: LEA-wide		
2.5	Action: Activities and Events Need: Student and family engagement opportunities to promote and celebrate academic achievement. Scope: LEA-wide	Activities and events will promote student achievement and celebrate students for academic growth.	- High School Graduation Rate
3.1	Action: Certificated Staff Need: Increase attendance percentage and four year graduation rate. Scope: LEA-wide	Counselors, nurses and other certificated support staff will provide needed support to ensure students have basic needs met so that they can better focus on academic achievement at school.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School Attendance Rate • Chronic Absenteeism Rate
3.2	Action: Classified Staff Need: Increase attendance percentage and four year graduation rate. Scope: LEA-wide	Classified staff will provide needed support to ensure students have basic needs met so that they can better focus on academic achievement at school.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School Attendance Rate • Chronic Absenteeism Rate

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
3.3	<p>Action: Professional Development</p> <p>Need: Support the social-emotional well being of students</p> <p>Scope: LEA-wide</p>	Staff receiving professional development will have a better understanding of how to support the social-emotional needs of students.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspension Rate • Expulsion Rate • Retention Rate
3.4	<p>Action: Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies</p> <p>Need: Students need additional school programs to support social emotional health and well being.</p> <p>Scope: LEA-wide</p>	Students will have more opportunity to participate in classes and programs that will build self confidence and promote social emotional health.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspension Rate • Expulsion Rate • Retention Rate
3.5	<p>Action: Activities and Events</p> <p>Need: Students need additional school events that promote social emotional health and well being.</p> <p>Scope: LEA-wide</p>	Students will have more opportunity to participate in activities and events that will build self confidence and promote social emotional health.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suspension Rate • Expulsion Rate • Retention Rate • Student/Family Surveys

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
3.6	<p>Action: Supports for Homeless and Foster Students</p> <p>Need: Additional support and resources for foster and homeless students to meet basic life needs.</p> <p>Scope: LEA-wide</p>	These additional supports and resources will improve attendance and engagement for homeless and foster students.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School Attendance Rate • Chronic Absenteeism Rate • Retention Rate

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
-------------------	--------------------	---	------------------------------------

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

Goal 2, action 1 (certificated staff to support academic interventions and achievement) is our planned action to be implemented using concentration grant add-on funding. These staff funded in goal 2 action 1 are principally directed to support our student population of English Learners, students identified as low income and Foster Youth, which exceeds the 55% unduplicated threshold for using our funds

schoolwide. The school's add-on percentage has been calculated and we have demonstrated through the listed action that we have met the requirement.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students	N/A	1:27
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students	N/A	1:9

2024-25 Total Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	7,325,935	2,858,566	39.020%	0.000%	39.020%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$2,858,579.00	\$76,087.00		\$224,137.00	\$3,158,803.00	\$2,744,478.00	\$414,325.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Certificated Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$998,484.00	\$0.00	\$954,617.00			\$43,867.00	\$998,484.00	
1	1.2	Classified Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$156,206.00	\$0.00	\$109,181.00			\$47,025.00	\$156,206.00	
1	1.3	Professional Development	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$19,929.00	\$19,929.00				\$19,929.00	
1	1.4	Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$32,185.00	\$32,185.00				\$32,185.00	
1	1.5	Events and Activities	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00				\$1,200.00	
1	1.6	Clean and Safe Facilities	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$10,354.00	\$10,354.00				\$10,354.00	
2	2.1	Certificated Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$897,726.00	\$0.00	\$792,625.00	\$76,087.00		\$29,014.00	\$897,726.00	
2	2.2	Classified Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$452,045.00	\$0.00	\$383,302.00			\$68,743.00	\$452,045.00	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
2	2.3	Staff Professional Development	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$116,914.00	\$94,543.00			\$22,371.00	\$116,914.00	
2	2.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$97,343.00	\$96,638.00			\$705.00	\$97,343.00	
2	2.5	Activities and Events	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00				\$3,000.00	
3	3.1	Certificated Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$6,292.00	\$0.00	\$6,292.00				\$6,292.00	
3	3.2	Classified Staff	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$233,725.00	\$0.00	\$233,725.00				\$233,725.00	
3	3.3	Professional Development	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$22,420.00	\$22,420.00				\$22,420.00	
3	3.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$104,861.00	\$92,449.00			\$12,412.00	\$104,861.00	
3	3.5	Activities and Events	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$3,953.00	\$3,953.00				\$3,953.00	
3	3.6	Supports for Homeless and Foster Students	Foster Youth	Yes	LEA-wide	Foster Youth		24-25 School Year	\$0.00	\$2,166.00	\$2,166.00				\$2,166.00	

2024-25 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
7,325,935	2,858,566	39.020%	0.000%	39.020%	\$2,858,579.00	0.000%	39.020 %	Total:	\$2,858,579.00
								LEA-wide Total:	\$2,858,579.00
								Limited Total:	\$0.00
								Schoolwide Total:	\$0.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$954,617.00	
1	1.2	Classified Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$109,181.00	
1	1.3	Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$19,929.00	
1	1.4	Supplemental Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$32,185.00	
1	1.5	Events and Activities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$1,200.00	
1	1.6	Clean and Safe Facilities	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$10,354.00	
2	2.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth		\$792,625.00	

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
					Low Income			
2	2.2	Classified Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$383,302.00	
2	2.3	Staff Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$94,543.00	
2	2.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$96,638.00	
2	2.5	Activities and Events	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$3,000.00	
3	3.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$6,292.00	
3	3.2	Classified Staff	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$233,725.00	
3	3.3	Professional Development	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$22,420.00	
3	3.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$92,449.00	
3	3.5	Activities and Events	Yes	LEA-wide	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income		\$3,953.00	
3	3.6	Supports for Homeless and Foster Students	Yes	LEA-wide	Foster Youth		\$2,166.00	

2023-24 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals	\$2,966,886.00	\$3,206,402.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	\$688,432.00	\$915,548
1	1.2	Classified Support Staff	Yes	\$123,649.00	\$172,871
1	1.3	Staff Professional Development	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$50,087
1	1.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	\$12,746.00	\$51,133
1	1.5	Activities, Events and Supplies	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$6,048
1	1.6	Safe and Clean Facilities	Yes	\$2,500.00	0
2	2.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	\$1,158,604.00	\$1,071,505
2	2.2	Classified Staff	Yes	\$268,589.00	\$333,581
2	2.3	Staff Professional Development	Yes	\$34,646.00	\$164,238
2	2.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	\$9,668.00	\$101,330
2	2.5	Activities, Events and supplies	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$3,450

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	2.6	Special Education	No	\$110,093.00	\$6,722
3	3.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	\$129,888.00	\$6,772
3	3.2	Classified Staff	Yes	\$193,342.00	\$194,176
3	3.3	Staff Professional Development	Yes	\$20,071.00	\$23,676
3	3.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	\$198,733.00	\$92,461
3	3.5	Activities, Events and Events	Yes	\$5,925.00	\$9,148
3	3.6	Supports for Homeless and Foster Students	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$3,656

2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
2,808,846	\$2,464,397.00	\$2,977,560.00	(\$513,163.00)	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
1	1.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	\$580,994.00	\$786,572		
1	1.2	Classified Support Staff	Yes	\$72,376.00	\$136,271		
1	1.3	Staff Professional Development	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$42,629		
1	1.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$47,786		
1	1.5	Activities, Events and Supplies	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$3,714		
1	1.6	Safe and Clean Facilities	Yes	\$2,500.00	0		
2	2.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	\$1,130,783.00	\$1,056,096		
2	2.2	Classified Staff	Yes	\$228,589.00	\$333,581		
2	2.3	Staff Professional Development	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$143,145		
2	2.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$97,474		
2	2.5	Activities, Events and supplies	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$3,000		
3	3.1	Certificated Staff	Yes	\$129,888.00	\$6,722		
3	3.2	Classified Staff	Yes	\$193,342.00	\$194,176		

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
3	3.3	Staff Professional Development	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$22,619		
3	3.4	Instructional Programs, Resources and Supplies	Yes	\$100,000.00	\$92,461		
3	3.5	Activities, Events and Events	Yes	\$5,925.00	\$9,148		
3	3.6	Supports for Homeless and Foster Students	Yes	\$2,500.00	\$2,166		

2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
7,286,079	2,808,846	0	38.551%	\$2,977,560.00	0.000%	40.866%	\$0.00	0.000%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California *Education Code* [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
 - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
 - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
 - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (*EC* Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (*EC* sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* sections [52060\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) and [52066\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section [47606.5\(d\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the [CDE's LCAP webpage](#).

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see [Education Code Section 52062 \(California Legislative Information\)](#);
 - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).

- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068 \(California Legislative Information\)](#); and
- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5 \(California Legislative Information\)](#).
- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
 - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
 - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
 - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
 - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
 - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
 - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
 - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
 - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
 - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
 - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
 - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
 - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- **Focus Goal:** A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
 - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- **Broad Goal:** A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- **Maintenance of Progress Goal:** A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The [LCFF State Priorities Summary](#) provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators.
 - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
 - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
 - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: EC Section [42238.024\(b\)\(1\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.
- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals:** For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
 - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
 - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric

- Enter the metric number.

Metric

- Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
 - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
 - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
 - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
 - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
 - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain

accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.

- If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.

- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. “Effective” means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as “Not Applicable.”

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
 - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
 - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
 - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
 - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
 - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
 - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
 - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
 - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:
Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.

- For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
- As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
- These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
 - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
 - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and
 - Professional development for teachers.
 - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.
- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
 - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
 - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the “minimum proportionality percentage” or “MPP.” The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.
- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

- The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***
- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type "Yes" if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
 - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

- **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
- **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate “All Schools.” If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter “Specific Schools” or “Specific Grade Spans.” Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter “ongoing” if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter “1 Year,” or “2 Years,” or “6 Months.”
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA’s total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
 - **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
 - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the “Other State Funds” category, not in the “LCFF Funds” category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA’s LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as

a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

- As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA’s current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
 - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
 - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**

- This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**
 - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
 - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
 - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
 - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
 - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**

- This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
 - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**
 - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.
- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**
 - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education
November 2023